

EMGOLD MINING CORPORATION

1400 – 570 Granville Street
Vancouver, B.C. Canada V6C 3P1

www.emgold.com

November 7, 2002

Ticker Symbol: **EMR**-TSX Venture
SEC 12g3-2(b): 82-3003

EMGOLD MINING CORPORATION – RECEIVES TECHNICAL REPORT ON THE IDAHO-MARYLAND PROPERTY

Emgold Mining Corporation (Emgold) (EMR-TSX Venture) is pleased to announce receipt of the now completed Technical Report as defined in National Instrument 43-101, Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects, and in compliance with Form 43-101F1 (the "Technical Reports") on its Idaho-Maryland Property located in Grass Valley, California. Emgold engaged AMEC E&C Services Ltd. (AMEC) to provide an independent Qualified Person's review and evaluation of the Idaho-Maryland Project. The primary objective of the Technical Report was the definition of a revised resource for the Idaho-Maryland Property. The independent review by AMEC supports the 2002 Idaho-Maryland project mineral resource estimate and its positive exploration potential for additional gold mineralization.

The Technical Report presents the current Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources for the Idaho-Maryland as 1.575 million tons at 0.21 ounces of gold per ton and an additional Inferred Mineral Resource of 2.413 million tons at 0.26 ounces of gold per ton. Using the historic Idaho-Maryland Mine Call Factor (MCF) of 1.44, the Measured and Indicated Mineral Resource grade is 0.27 ounces of gold per ton containing 423,000 ounces of gold and Inferred Minerals Resources grading 0.37 ounces per ton containing an additional 898,000 ounces of gold.

The Technical Report states, "Historically the planned mill feed tonnage and gold grade rarely matched the actual results. This was a result of a variety of factors that could be resolved by adjusting the planned production by a constant number. This number or factor is called the multiplier factor or mine call factor. Commonly, these deposit types typically under-predict the gold produced." The report also states, "Two factors were calculated: a "model" (underground sampling) to "mine" (muck car sampling) factor, equal to 1.21, and a "mine" to "mill" factor, calculated to be 1.19. The total Mine Call Factor is equal to 1.44. AMEC reviewed the work done by JAA (James Askew and Associates) and agrees with their results. The use of the Mine Call Factor can be used to establish a relationship between the historic underground channel samples and expected production. This factor should only be used on the nuggetty vein system data. The more homogeneous slate hosted mineralization should not be factored at any resource category."

The Idaho-Maryland Property is located in Grass Valley; California, which is one of the most famous mining districts in California. Placer gold was first found in Wolf Creek in 1848 and gold-bearing quartz was discovered at Gold Hill in 1850. The original claim on the Idaho-Maryland Mine Property was staked in 1851 and high-grade gold

mineralization was discovered in 1863. The principal mines in the area included the North Star, Empire, Idaho-Maryland, Pennsylvania and W.Y.O.D. mines. Over the approximately 106 years of gold mining activity in the Grass Valley district from 1850 to 1956, it has been estimated that a total of 17 million ounces (529 million grams) of gold were produced, the Empire mine yielding an estimated 5.80 million ounces (180 million grams) and the Idaho-Maryland Mine Property yielding 2.38 million ounces (74 million grams) of gold from 5,546,000 short tons or a recovered grade of 0.43 ounces of gold per short ton. The Empire mine was mined to the 5,000-foot (1,524 m) level whereas the adjacent Idaho-Maryland Mine Property was mined only to the 3,280-foot (1,000 m) level.

The Technical Report includes a thorough review of the historical information available on the Idaho-Maryland Mine up to the time of closure in 1956 as well as recent work completed by Engold. The primary objective of the Technical Report is to summarize the available data and present revised resources to allow Engold to move the development of the Idaho-Maryland forward in accordance with National Instrument 43-101.

The following is reprinted from the Summary of the Technical Report:

“The 2002 mineral resource for the Idaho-Maryland property was estimated under the direction of Engold's Qualified Person, Mr. Mark Payne (Registered Geologist 7067, State of California), using traditional longitudinal sections and 3D geologic models by commercial mine planning software (Vulcan®). AMEC validated the evidence for the pertinent vein/structural interpretation data support and consistency. All examples based on the underground data demonstrated good data back-up and sound projection limits. The interpretations covering the drill hole intercepts also were sound and reasonably projected. However, the latter is hampered by the uncertainty in spatial location of the drill hole intercept due to the holes not having been down hole surveyed. AMEC also checked numerous resource blocks for correct tabulation of sample values, reasonable projection limits, and volumetric and trigonometric calculations. The checked blocks were properly constructed and calculated.

“The mineral resource classification of the Idaho-Maryland deposits used logic consistent with the CIM definitions referred to National Instrument 43-101. AMEC assessed the criteria used by Engold for this classification and generally agreed with them. Engold's classification protocol was amended to classify mineral resources outlined by single drill hole intercepts as "inferred" and to downgrade any resource blocks that demonstrate a degree of uncertainty in the grade estimate due to the presence of numerous +1 opt Au assayed samples (mostly originally measured resources downgraded to indicated resources). In the case of the latter condition, those blocks will remain in the downgraded resource category until such time that a proper investigation is carried out to set appropriate grade capping levels at Idaho-Maryland.

“The mineralization of the Idaho-Maryland project as of November 5, 2002, is classified as measured, indicated and inferred mineral resources. The classified mineral resources are shown in Table 1.1. The Idaho-Maryland mineral resource was reported at a 0.10 opt Au cut-off grade. All estimated resource blocks equal to or greater than 0.10 opt Au were tabulated in the summary.”

Table 1-1: Idaho-Maryland Project Mineral Resource Summary, October 25, 2002

	True Thickness (ft)	Tonnage (tons)	Gold Grade (opt)	Gold (oz)	Gold Grade (opt) 1.44 MCF	Gold (oz) 1.44 MCF ¹
<i>Eureka Group</i>						
Measured Mineral Resource	6.5	17,000	0.18	3,000	0.29	5,000
Indicated Mineral Resource	5.7	41,000	0.27	11,000	0.37	15,000
Measured + Indicated Mineral Resources	5.9	58,000	0.24	14,000	0.34	20,000
Inferred Mineral Resources	9.5	393,000	0.21	81,000	0.30	117,000
<i>Idaho Group</i>						
Measured Mineral Resource	17.5	129,000	0.24	31,000	0.34	44,000
Indicated Mineral Resource	13.4	151,000	0.41	62,000	0.60	90,000
Measured + Indicated Mineral Resources	15.3	280,000	0.33	93,000	0.48	134,000
Inferred Mineral Resources	10.4	791,000	0.24	190,000	0.35	274,000
<i>Dorsey Group</i>						
Measured Mineral Resource	11.9	59,000	0.22	13,000	0.32	19,000
Indicated Mineral Resource	7.2	102,000	0.32	33,000	0.47	47,000
Measured + Indicated Mineral Resources	8.9	161,000	0.29	46,000	0.42	66,000
Inferred Mineral Resources	9.6	941,000	0.30	285,000	0.46	410,000
<i>Brunswick Group</i>						
Measured Mineral Resource	8.0	63,000	0.17	11,000	0.25	16,000
Indicated Mineral Resource	6.2	107,000	0.28	30,000	0.40	43,000
Measured + Indicated Mineral Resources	6.9	170,000	0.24	41,000	0.34	59,000
Inferred Mineral Resources	7.3	288,000	0.23	67,000	0.34	96,000
<i>Waterman Group</i>						
Measured Mineral Resource	70.7	831,000	0.15	127,000		
Indicated Mineral Resource	30.5	75,000	0.21	16,000		
Measured + Indicated Mineral Resources	67.3	906,000	0.16	144,000		
<i>Idaho-Maryland Project²</i>						
Measured Mineral Resource 1	13.2	268,000	0.22	58,000	0.31	84,000
Measured Mineral Resource 2	70.7	831,000	0.15	127,000	0.15	127,000
Indicated Mineral Resource	12.5	476,000	0.32	152,000	0.44	211,000
Measured + Indicated Mineral Resources	43.3	1,575,000	0.21	337,000	0.27	423,000
Inferred Mineral Resources	9.6	2,413,000	0.26	623,000	0.37	898,000

1. MCF = Mine Call Factor (not applicable to Waterman Group resources). 2. Idaho-Maryland measured resources are split into two categories: 1. the Eureka, Idaho, Dorsey, and Brunswick Groups, and 2. the Waterman Group (stockwork/slate type ore).

Bill Witte, P. Eng., Emgold's President stated, "We are very pleased with the results of the Technical Report because it confirms a solid baseline resource with newly defined near surface exploration potential combined with the historic deeper exploration targets. Our knowledge and understanding of the structural geology of the Idaho-Maryland will allow us to move forward with an exciting exploration and development program. This program is currently being developed by Emgold's project team with assistance by AMEC and will be announced upon completion of the scoping study."

Concurrent with the preparation of the Technical Report, Emgold and AMEC are completing a scoping study to further define further work including the necessary permitting requirements to initiate a development plan including surface drilling and underground exploration and development programs for the Idaho-Maryland. The

surface-drilling program will allow Emgold to test the recently developed understanding of the structural complexity of the Idaho-Maryland while exploring additional resource potential above the Id 1000 Level. A preliminary assessment will be completed to evaluate the economics of exploration and development down to the Id 1000 Level and deeper, with ultimate access to the Br 3280 Level.

The complete Technical Report will be posted on www.sedar.com and on the Company's website www.emgold.com.

On Behalf of the Board of Directors

William J. Witte, P. Eng.
President and CEO

For further information please contact:
William J. Witte, President and CEO
Tel: (604) 687-4622 Fax: (604) 687-4212
Toll Free: 1-888-267-1400

No regulatory authority has approved or disapproved the information contained in this news release.

This release includes certain statements that may be deemed "forward-looking statements". All statements in this release, other than statements of historical facts, that address future production, reserve potential, exploration drilling, exploitation activities and events or developments that the Company expects are forward-looking statements. Although the Company believes the expectations expressed in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, such statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in forward-looking statements include market prices, exploitation and exploration successes, and continued availability of capital and financing, and general economic, market or business conditions. Investors are cautioned that any such statements are not guarantees of future performance and that actual results or developments may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. For more information on the Company, Investors should review the Company's filings that are available at www.sedar.com.